

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)  
2 United States Attorney

3 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 KESLIE STEWART (CABN 184090)  
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S  
8 Oakland, CA 94612  
Telephone: (510) 637-3680  
Fax: (510) 637-3724  
E-Mail: Keslie.Stewart@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 OAKLAND DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR-10-00395 PJH  
14 v. ) [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING  
15 JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE, ) TIME FROM JUNE 4, 2010 TO JUNE 30,  
a/k/a Delbert James McConville, ) 2010 FROM SPEEDY TRIAL ACT  
16 LAURA MARGERY CATON, ) CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §§  
a/k/a Laura Margery Tate ) 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B))  
17 a/k/a Laura Gussman )  
18 ARAKS DAVOUDI, )  
a/k/a Araks Galstanian )  
19 DONNA DEMELLO, )  
a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, )  
a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel, )  
20 a/k/a Donna Kay Demello, )  
JASON ARTHUR PIETTE, and )  
21 RASUL RASULI, )

22 Defendant.

23 Defendant Rasul Rasuli was arraigned on the indictment before the Court on June 4,  
24 2010. At that time, the government represented that the discovery would be voluminous and  
25 counsel for the defendant indicated that he would need time both to review discovery materials  
26 related to the more than 80 loan files at issue in this indictment. The Court set a next appearance  
27 date of June 30, 2010, for a status hearing. The Court then ordered that time should be excluded  
28

1 from the Speedy Trial Act calculation from June 4, 2010 through June 30, 2010, for effective  
2 preparation of defense counsel. With the agreement of the parties, the Court enters this order  
3 documenting the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and  
4 (B), from June 4, 2010 through June 30, 2010.

5 The parties agreed, and the Court found and held, as follows:

6 1. The parties agreed to the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act so that  
7 defense counsel would have time to review the voluminous discovery, taking into account the  
8 exercise of due diligence.

9 2. Given these circumstances, the Court found that the ends of justice served by  
10 excluding the period from June 4, 2010 to June 30, 2010 from Speedy Trial Act calculations  
11 outweighs the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial by allowing time for the  
12 defense effectively to prepare the case, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

13 3. Accordingly, with the consent of counsel for defendant Rasul Rasuli, the Court  
14 ordered that the period from June 4, 2010 to June 30, 2010, be excluded from Speedy Trial Act  
15 calculations, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 DATED: 6/14/2010



20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28